

Practice With Bankruptcy Methods (2019)

Prepared by:

Joseph Malkevitch
Department of Mathematics
York College (CUNY)
Jamaica, New York 11451

email:

malkevitch@york.cuny.edu

web page:

<http://york.cuny.edu/~malk>

1. For the following bankruptcy situations with 2 claimants, find:

- a. Entity equity
- b. Equality of loss, with possible subsidization
- c. The Maimonides (gain) solution
- d. The Maimonides loss solution
- e. The Shapley value solution
- f. The proportional solution
- g. Concede and divide

- i. $E = 40$; A claims 20; B claims 100
- ii. $E = 80$; A claims 40; B claims 100
- iii. $E = 120$; A claims 40; B claims 100

2. For the following bankruptcy situations with 3 claimants, find:

- a. The Maimonides (gain) solution
- b. The Maimonides loss solution
- c. The Shapley value solution
- d. The proportional solution
- e. The "Talmudic" solution

- i. $E = 100$; A claims 40; B claims 70; C claims 90
- ii. $E = 120$; A claims 40; B claims 70; C claims 90
- iii. $E = 180$; A claims 40; B claims 70; C claims 90

(For a. and b. draw appropriate fluid diagrams to help you with the solution.)

3. For the following bankruptcy situations with 3 claimants, find:

- a. The Maimonides (gain) solution
- b. The Maimonides loss solution
- c. The Shapley value solution
- e. The proportional solution
- e. The "Talmudic" solution

(For a. and b. draw appropriate fluid diagrams to help you with the solution.)

- i. $E = 120$; A claims 60; B claims 90; C claims 100.
- ii. $E = 240$; A claims 60; B claims 90; C claims 100

You may want to check that the Talmudic method is "consistent" with respect to two player subsets, with respect to concede and divide.

For fun:

Can you find an example with two claimants where:

- a. Entity equity
- b. Equality of loss, with possible subsidization
- c. The Maimonides (gain) solution
- d. The Maimonides loss solution
- e. The Shapley value solution
- f. The proportional solution
- g. Concede and divide

all yield different solutions? If you can't find such an example, which of these solutions for two players might always be the same?

A quite recent scholarly article about bankruptcy problems is:

Thomson, William. "Axiomatic and game-theoretic analysis of bankruptcy and taxation problems: an update." *Mathematical Social Sciences* 74 (2015): 41-59.

Preprint version:

http://www.iser.osaka-u.ac.jp/collabo/20140524/Claims_Problems.pdf