Program Assessment Rubric [Annual Assessment Report Section]

Program Name: _____

Academic Year: _____

Mark one category per row and include evidence for each element referenced.

Capacity Criteria	Initial	Emerging	Developed
Program Student Learning Outcomes (PSLOs) assessed	Program student learning outcomes lack alignment with the program goals and are not observable, measurable and student centered.	□ Program student learning outcomes are inconsistently aligned with the program goals, and not each PSLO is obs ervable, mea surable and student centered.	Each program student learning outcomes is aligned with the program goals, is observable, meas urable and is student centered.
Assessment Measures	☐ Has not yet devel oped (or identified) direct measures.	□ Has developed (or identified) direct assessment measures but may not be appropriate to program level assessment.	□ Has developed (or identified) direct and/or indirect assessment measures that effectively convey information about student learning outcomes.
Sample size	Does not identify the sample size and courses used to collect data.	□ Has identified the sample size and courses used to collect data but may not be representative of the program student population.	□ Has identified the sample size and courses used to collect data. The sample size is appropriate and representative of the program student population
Target	Target is not defined.	 Target i dentifies a percentage but lacks specifics on performance levels (rubric). 	 Target identifies a percentage and includes specifics on performance levels (rubric).
Findings	□ Findings are incomplete for one or more of the PSLOs assessed.	☐ Findings are described but are unclear or don't tie back to the PSLOs assessed	□ Findings are clearly described and tie back to the PSLOs assessed.
Use of Results	Program did not indicate how results will be used for one or more of the PSLOs assessed.	Program indicated a change that does not a lign with the assessment findings for one or more of the PSLOs	Program indicated a change that aligns with the assessment findings for all PSLOs assessed

YORKCollege **W** Academic Assessment Committee (AAC)

Capacity Criteria	Initial	Emerging	Developed
Recommended Change(s)	Program does not explain the recommended change or provides an explanation of why there is no change for any PSLOs assessed	Program provides little or no explanation of the recommended change or provides limited explanation of why there is no change for some of the PSLOs assessed	Program explains the recommended change and/or provides an explanation of why there is no change for all PSLOs assessed
Action Plan/Next Steps	Program does not yet have plans for next steps and there is no understanding on what action is needed	□ Program has plans for next steps including the required action, but they are not yet clear or feasible.	□ Program has concrete plans for next steps with goals, roles, timelines and required actions.
Assessment Communication	□ Program did not share findings with anyone for any PSLOs assessed	 Program indicated findings were shared with two or more groups for at least one or more PSLOs assessed 	 Program indicated findings were shared with two or more groups for all PSLOs assessed
Attachment: Rubrics Does not have a rubric <i>Check if N/A</i> 	☐ Has rubric that does not evidence alignment with PGs and PSLOs and lacks criteria and descriptors that are mutually exclusive	□ Has rubric that aligns with PGs and PSLOs, evidences scale, and has one or more criteria and descriptors that are not mutually exclusive	□ Has rubric that aligns with PGs and PSLOs, evidences scale and includes criteria and descriptors that are mutually exclusive
Changes Implemented: Current Status (Optional AY 2019-2020)	Program does not demonstrate closing the loop, i.e. having implemented changes as per last year's assessment action plan	□ Program partially demonstrates closing the loop, i.e. implemented some changes as per last year's assessment action plan but is missing at least one of thes e components, e.g. time of implementation and/or when the change will be reassessed	□ Program has demonstrated <i>closing</i> <i>the loop</i> , i.e. implemented all changes as per last year's assessment action plan and identifies the time of implementation including when the change will be reassessed

AY 2019-2020: Due to COVID, evidence for each element referenced may have been impacted and as such this assessment activity may not be fully representative of the program goal this year.

Source Documents: _____

Consider for Assessment Spotlight (*OIESP summarizes and writes about the assessment activity and shares it College-wide*)?

Publish Report (internally): \Box Yes

🗌 No

YORKCollege **S** Academic Assessment Committee (AAC)

Comments/Recommendations:

Completed by: Working Group Leader and Members:	Date
Academic Assessment Committee Chair:	Date
Feedback to Department Coordinator and Chair:	Date

Please contact AAC Chair for further discussion/questions.